

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,864	02/10/2004	Tomoo Yamasaki	CU-3568 RJS	3878
26530 75	590 05/17/2005		EXAM	INER
LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			COLEMAN,	WILLIAM D
SUITE 1200	ICHIGAN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60604		2823	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H';			
*** · * * *	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/776,864	YAMASAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	W. David Coleman	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTAGE, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	February 2004				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)  accepted or b)  on accepted or b)  on a comment of a comment of a comment of the drawing (action is required if the drawing (action is required in action in action is required in action in acti	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/04.</li> </ul>	. —	)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/776,864 Page 2

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**


1. Figures 1A-1D should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) FIGS. 1A-1D.
- 4. <u>AAPA</u> discloses a semiconductor device as claimed. See FIG. 1D, where AAPA discloses the following limitations.



5. AAPA teaches a substrate having a core substrate, comprising:

Art Unit: 2823

a via terminal 11 being formed to pierce the core substrate 10,

wherein the via terminal is configured by electrically and mechanically connecting a front surface side conductive part of the core substrate with a rear surface side conductive part of the core substrate at a thickness directional position of the core substrate, the front surface side conductive part is formed by filling a concave part in a rear surface of the core substrate with a conductor, and the rear surface side conductive part is formed by filling a concave part on a front surface of the core substrate with a conductor.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants Admitted Prior Art FIGS. 1A-1D.
- 8. AAPA discloses a semiconductor device substantially as claimed.

AAPA teaches a substrate having a core substrate, comprising:

a via terminal 11 being formed to pierce the core substrate 10,

wherein the via terminal is configured by electrically and mechanically connecting a front surface side conductive part of the core substrate with a rear surface side conductive part of the core substrate at a thickness directional position of the core substrate, the front surface side conductive part is formed by filling a concave part in a rear surface of the core substrate with a conductor, and the rear surface side conductive part is formed by filling a concave part on a front

Art Unit: 2823

surface of the core substrate with a conductor. However, AAPA fails to disclose the claimed process. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 allowed.
- 10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not anticipate forming the core substrate by grinding up to a position immediately before the front surface side conductive part.
- Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

# Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. David Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-1856. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,864

Art Unit: 2823

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W. David Coleman Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 2823

**WDC**